UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA

Donna Dorsey Davis,)
as an individual and derivatively upon behalf)
of I-65 Properties, Inc.)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
VS.,) CIVIL ACTION NO: 2:06cv766
)
Richard M. Dorsey, as an individual,)
and CD& O, LLC, as a necessary party,)
)
Defendant.)
)
and)
)
Richard M. Dorsey,)
Dorsey Motor Sales, Inc.,)
and TD & O, Inc.,)
and 1D & O, me.,)
Counter Plaintiffs,)
Counter Flaments,)
NO.)
VS.)
Danie Danie Danie)
Donna Dorsey Davis,)
)
Counter Defendant.)

Counter-Defendant's Answer to Counter-Plaintiffs' Counter Claim

Comes now the Counter-Defendant, Donna Dorsey Davis, as an individual, by and through the undersigned counsel, and files this Answer and states as grounds the following: (The numbered paragraph for the answer correlates to the numbered paragraph for the Counter claim.)

- 1. Counter-Defendant admits the allegations of paragraph One.
- 2. Counter-Defendant admits the allegations of paragraph Two.
- 3. Counter-Defendant is without sufficient knowledge, information or belief to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of Counter-Plaintiff's Counter-Complaint and therefore demands strict proof thereof.
- 4. Counter-Defendant admits the allegations of paragraph Four.
- 5. Counter-Defendant admits the allegations of paragraph Five.
- 6. Counter-Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph Six and demands strict proof thereof.
- 7. Counter-Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph Seven and demands strict proof thereof.
- 8. Counter-Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph Eight and demands strict proof thereof.
- Counter-Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph Nine and demands strict proof thereof.
- 10. This paragraph does not require a substantive response by the Counter-Defendant.
 Therefore, for purposes of clarity, the Counter-Defendant reasserts her denial of all previous denials that have been pled.
- 11. Counter-Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph Eleven and demands strict proof thereof. Furthermore, Counter-Defendant has no knowledge of any agreement whereby Davis promises to pay Counter-Plaintiffs the sum of \$518,645.16.

- 12. Counter-Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph Twelve and demands strict proof thereof.
- 13. This paragraph does not require a substantive response by the Counter-Defendant. Therefore, for purposes of clarity, the Counter-Defendant reasserts her denial of all previous denials that have been pled.
- 14. Counter-Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph Fourteen and demands strict proof thereof.
- 15. This paragraph does not require a substantive response by the Counter-Defendant. Therefore, for purposes of clarity, the Counter-Defendant reasserts her denial of all previous denials that have been pled.
- 16. Counter-Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph Sixteen and demands strict proof thereof.
- 17. This paragraph does not require a substantive response by the Counter-Defendant. Therefore, for purposes of clarity, the Counter-Defendant reasserts her denial of all previous denials that have been pled.
- 18. Counter-Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph Eighteen and demands strict proof thereof.
- 19. This paragraph does not require a substantive response by the Counter-Defendant. Therefore, for purposes of clarity, the Counter-Defendant reasserts her denial of all previous denials that have been pled.
- 20. Counter-Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph Twenty and demands strict proof thereof.

21. Counter-Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph Twenty-one and demands

strict proof thereof.

22. This paragraph does not require a substantive response by the Counter-Defendant.

Therefore, for purposes of clarity, the Counter-Defendant reasserts her denial of all

previous denials that have been pled.

23. Counter-Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph Twenty-three and demands

strict proof thereof.

24. Counter-Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph Twenty-four and demands

strict proof thereof.

For further answer, the Counter-Defendant would additionally plead as follows:

25. The Counter-Plaintiff's Counter-claim is due to be dismissed under Ala. Code § 7-3-

118(b): "If no demand for payment is made to the maker, an action to enforce the note is

barred if neither principal nor interest on the note has been paid for a continuous period of 10

years." (1975).

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By: <u>s/Lindsay B. Erwin</u>

Lindsay B. Erwin (ERW005)

ASB-5299-y59e

Meacham, Earley & Fowler, P.C. 5704 Veterans Parkway

Columbus, GA 31904

(706) 576-4064

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 6th day of April, 2007, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system and have served a copy of same electronically and placing a copy of same in the Unites States Mail, postage prepaid and properly addressed to:

Clifford W. Cleveland Post Office Box 680689 Prattville, Alabama 36068 James E. Roberts 4908 Cahaba River Road, Suite 204 Birmingham, Alabama 35243

> s/Lindsay B. Erwin____ Of Counsel